arXiv:1412.1026v1 [hep-th] 2 Dec 2014

Spectrally isomorphic Dirac systems: graphene in electromagnetic field

Vít Jakubský

Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute, 25068 Řež, Czech Republic

E-mail:jakub@ujf.cas.cz

Abstract

We construct the new one-dimensional Dirac Hamiltonians that are spectrally isomorphic (not isospectral) with the known exactly solvable models. Explicit formulas for their spectra and eigenstates are provided. The operators are utilized for description of Dirac fermions in graphene in presence of an inhomogeneous electromagnetic field. We discuss explicit, physically relevant, examples of spectrally isomorphic systems with both non-periodic and periodic electromagnetic barriers. In the latter case, spectrally isomorphic two- and three-gap systems associated with the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur hierarchy are considered.

1 Introduction

The effective one- or two-dimensional Dirac equation describes surprising variety of physical systems. It appears in effective models of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the quantum field theory [1], [2], [3], in the Andreev approximation of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations of superconductivity [4], or in the effective description of the low-energy charge carriers in graphene and related carbon nanostructures [5]. In all these scenarios, the explicit solution of dynamical equations is desirable. However, it cannot be found rather frequently due to analytically intractable form of interaction. Here, the importance of exactly solvable models comes out. Despite their family is rather limited, they can be adjusted to the required physical situation by variety of methods.

Unitary transformations in quantum mechanics are convenient tool for making calculations more feasible, however, they are usually not considered to have much physical importance as the unitarily equivalent quantum systems provide the same physical predictions. Nonetheless, the unitary transformation can relate two systems whose physical interpretation is substantially different from each other. As an example, let us mention the free massless Dirac particle on the real line whose Hamiltonian reads $H = -i\sigma_1\partial_x$. The unitary transformation $U = e^{i\sigma_1 V_0(x)}$ gives rise to the operator

$$\tilde{H} = UHU^{-1} = -i\sigma_1\partial_x + V_0(x) \tag{1}$$

that can be interpreted as the Hamiltonian of Dirac fermion in carbon nanotube in presence of a scalar potential $V_0(x)$. The relation between H and $\tilde{H}$ has a nontrivial physical impact here; it explains the absence of backscattering of Dirac quasiparticles on impurities in carbon nanotubes [6].

Two quantum systems related by unitary transformation have the same energy spectrum; they are *isospectral*. All the relevant properties of the transformed system can be effortlessly obtained from the initial model. Similar advantage is offered by the supersymmetric quantum mechanics, where the unitary equivalence is generalized by the intertwining relation $qH = \tilde{H}q$ and its conjugate. Instead of unitarity, the intertwining operator q, known also as Darboux transformation, satisfies $q^\dagger q = P(H)$ where P is a (polynomial) function of the initial Hamiltonian [7]. This transformation was discussed in [8] for the one-dimensional Dirac operators where explicit formulas for $\tilde{H}$ satisfying the intertwining relations for a fixed H and q were provided. The scalar potential is invariant with respect to the Darboux transformation q, i.e. it has the same form in both H and $\tilde{H}$. The spectra of $\tilde{H}$ and H are identical up to a finite number of energy levels; the systems are *almost isospectral*. The Dirac Hamiltonians H and $\tilde{H}$ intertwined by q describe different interactions in general. For instance, $\tilde{H}$ can represent the Hamiltonian of radially twisted carbon nanotubes whereas H is associated with a twist-free nanotubes, see [9].

We aim to construct the new, solvable, one-dimensional Dirac Hamiltonian that would describe Dirac fermions in graphene in presence of an inhomogeneous electromagnetic field. The procedure should allow us to modify the scalar potential and to introduce or maintain a constant mass term. The initial and the transformed Hamiltonians do not need to be isospectral. We are rather interested in the systems where the isospectrality of H and $\tilde{H}$ ($E \in \sigma(H) \Leftrightarrow E \in \sigma(\tilde{H})$) is generalized by the *spectral isomorphism*, defined in terms of a real function f,

$$E \in \sigma(H) \Leftrightarrow f(E) \in \sigma(\tilde{H}). \tag{2}$$

The construction will be divided into two independent steps. The first step comprises of the special unitary transformation whereas the second one allows us to modify the spectrum of the operator globally, introducing spectrally isomorphic systems in a simple way.

Dirac fermion in graphene is described by the Dirac equation [5]

$$\left(\sum_{a=1,2}\sigma_a\left[v_F(-i\hbar\partial_a+eA_a^{mg})+A_a^d\right]+m\sigma_3+V\right)\Psi=E\Psi, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{mg}$ is the vector potential corresponding to the external magnetic field whereas $\mathbf{A}^d$ corresponds to the pseudo-magnetic field induced by mechanical deformation [10]. The pseudo-spin distinguishes two triangular sublattices of the hexagonal lattice. The mass term m makes the two sublattices inequivalent; it is absent in the suspended graphene but can appear when the graphene sheet is posed on a substrate, e.g. on the crystal of hexagonal boron-nitride [11].

We will focus on the settings with translational invariance in one direction. We suppose that the pseudo-magnetic and magnetic field have nonvanishing z-component only, $B_z=B_z(x_1)=\partial_1 A_2$, $A_2=A_2(x_1)$, $A_1=0$, $m=const.\geq 0$, $V=V(x_1)$. For convenience, we will work with the rescaled coordinates $x_1=\lambda x$, $x_2=\lambda y$, where x and y are dimensionless quantities and λ has dimension of length (it can be identified with the magnetic length $l_B=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{eB_0}}$ for instance). After the substitution and separation of variables $\psi(x,y)=e^{ik_y y}\psi(x)$, the equation (3) can be written as

$$\tilde{H}\tilde{\psi}=\left(-i\sigma_1\partial_x+\tilde{V}_2\sigma_2+\tilde{m}\sigma_3+\tilde{V}_0\right)\psi(x)=\tilde{E}\psi(x), \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{V}_0=\frac{\lambda}{\hbar v_F}V_0(\lambda x)$, $\tilde{E}=\frac{\lambda E}{v_F\hbar}$, $\tilde{V}_2=\lambda\left(\frac{e}{\hbar}A_2^{mg}(\lambda x)+\frac{1}{v_F\hbar}A_2^d(\lambda x)\right)+k_y$, and $\tilde{m}=\frac{\lambda}{v_F\hbar}m$ are dimensionless quantities. We used suggestively the tilded notation in (4) as we shall construct solvable Hamiltonians of this type.

2 The special unitary transformation

Let us take a generic one-dimensional Dirac operator H,

$$H=-i\sigma_1\partial_x+V_2(x)\sigma_2+V_3(x)\sigma_3+V_0(x), \tag{5}$$

with real, sufficiently smooth, functions $V_0(x)$, $V_2(x)$ and $V_3(x)$, where $V_2(x)$ and $V_3(x)$ do not vanish simultaneously. We are looking for a "gauge" transformation G that would change H to $\tilde{H}$ of (4),

$$\tilde{H}=GHG^{-1}=-i\sigma_1\partial_x+\tilde{V}_2\sigma_2+\tilde{m}\sigma_3+\tilde{V}_0. \tag{6}$$

We take G as an element of the $su(2)$ group,

$$G=g_0+i\sum_{j=1}^{3}g_j\sigma_j,\quad g_0^2+\sum_{j=1}^{3}g_j^2=1, \tag{7}$$

where g_a, $a=0,1,2,3$ are real and continuous functions. Transformation of the kinetic term of H results in the following expression

$$iG\sigma_1\partial_x G^\dagger=i\left[(g_0^2+g_1^2-g_2^2-g_3^2)\sigma_1+2(g_1g_2-g_0g_3)\sigma_2+2(g_1g_3+g_0g_2)\sigma_3\right]\partial_x+\ldots., \tag{8}$$

where the dots represent the terms without derivative. We require the coefficient at the derivative to be constant[1]. It can be satisfied by the following simple ansatz for G,

$$G(x)=g_0(x)+i\sigma_1 g_1(x),\quad g_0^2+g_1^2=1. \tag{9}$$

Substituting this expression into (6), we get

$$\tilde{V}_0 = V_0+g_1g_0'-g_0g_1', \tag{10}$$
$$\tilde{V}_2 = (g_0^2-g_1^2)V_2+2g_0g_1V_3, \tag{11}$$
$$\tilde{m} = -2g_0g_1V_2+(g_0^2-g_1^2)V_3. \tag{12}$$

The mass term $\tilde{m}$ is required to be a non-negative constant. It can be achieved by $G\equiv G^\pm=g_0^\pm+i\sigma_1 g_1^\pm$ where the functions $g_0^\pm$ and $g_1^\pm$ are fixed as

$$g_0^\pm=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\tilde{m}V_3\mp V_2\sqrt{V_3^2+V_2^2-\tilde{m}^2}}{2(V_2^2+V_3^2)}},\quad g_1^\pm=-g_0^\pm\frac{V_2\pm\sqrt{V_3^2+V_2^2-\tilde{m}^2}}{\tilde{m}+V_3}. \tag{13}$$

[1]Position dependent coefficient at the derivative corresponds to the position dependent Fermi velocity. These systems were considered in the literature, see e.g. [12].



Figure 1: The graphs of g_0^- (left) and g_1^- (right). It is worth noticing that for $V_3 = \tilde{m}$, there holds $g_0^- = 1$ and $g_1^- = 0$ for $V_2 > 0$. Hence, G^- reduces to identity operator. $g_0^- = 1$ and $g_1^- = 0$ are represented by the black line in each figure. The density plots illustrate the circular domain of radius $\tilde{m}$ where g_0^- and g_1^- are imaginary.

The functions (13) have to be real. One can check[2] that this requirement is satisfied whenever $V_2^2 + V_3^2 > \tilde{m}^2$. Besides, the gauge transformation G has to be continuous. Otherwise, it would introduce discontinuities into the wave functions. As V_2 and V_3 are continuous, we can analyze the continuity of $g_0^\pm$ and $g_1^\pm$ in the (V_2, V_3)-plane, taking V_2 and V_3 as two independent variables. The function g_1^+ has discontinuity at $V_3 = -\tilde{m}$ for $V_2 > 0$ whereas g_1^- has discontinuity at $V_3 = -\tilde{m}$ for $V_2 < 0$, see Fig.1 for illustration. Hence, the continuous gauge transformation G can be constructed as long as the values of V_2 and V_3 of the seed Hamiltonian avoid one of the indicated discontinuities at least. Substituting (13) into (10), we get

$$\tilde{V}_0^\pm = V_0 + \frac{V_3 V_2' - V_2 V_3'}{2(V_2^2 + V_3^2)} \pm \tilde{m} \frac{\left(\log(V_2^2 + V_3^2)\right)'}{4\sqrt{V_2^2 + V_3^2 - m^2}}, \tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{V}_2^\pm = \mp\sqrt{-\tilde{m}^2 + V_2^2 + V_3^2}, \tag{15}$$

$$\tilde{V}_3^\pm = \tilde{m}. \tag{16}$$

3 Spectral isomorphism of massless and massive systems

Let us discuss here a specific class of quantum systems whose Hamiltonian is obtained by addition of a mass term into a massless Dirac operator H_0 with a solvable vector potential,

$$H_M = H_0 + M\sigma_3, \quad M > 0, \tag{17}$$

where

$$H_0 = -i\sigma_1\partial_x + V_2\sigma_2. \tag{18}$$

We take for granted that the solutions of $H_0\Psi_E^0 = E\Psi_E^0$ are known. For $E \neq 0$, the components of the spinor $\Psi_E^0 = (\psi_E^0, \xi_E^0)^T$ satisfy the following equations:

$$-\psi_E^{0\,\prime\prime} + \left(V_2^2 + V_2' - E^2\right)\psi_E^0 = 0, \quad \xi_E^0 = \frac{-i\partial_x + iV_2}{E}\psi_E^0. \tag{19}$$

[2]It is convenient to use $V_2 = R\sin\phi$, $V_3 = R\cos\phi$, $R \geq \tilde{m}$. Then the argument of the square root in g_0^+ is real if and only if $(R + \tilde{m}\cos\phi) \geq \sqrt{R^2 - \tilde{m}^2}\sin\phi$. The left-hand side is always positive. Whenever $\sin\phi \leq 0$, the right-hand side is negative and the inequality is satisfied. For $\sin\phi > 0$, the inequality is satisfied again as it reduces to $(R\cos\phi + \tilde{m})^2 \geq 0$. The same conclusion can be obtained for g_0^-.

The the zero modes of H_0 can be found easily,

$$\Psi_+^0 = \left(e^{\int_0^x V_2(s)ds}, 0\right), \quad \Psi_-^0 = \left(0, e^{-\int_0^x V_2(s)ds}\right), \quad H_0\Psi_\pm^0 = 0. \tag{20}$$

Only one of them can be square integrable. Hence, if the normalizable zero-mode exists, it is non-degenerate.

The mass term added to H_0 does not violate solvability. The stationary equation

$$(H_0 + M\sigma_3)\Psi_\epsilon = \epsilon\,\Psi_\epsilon \tag{21}$$

is equivalent to the following system of differential equations,

$$-\psi_\epsilon'' + \left(V_2^2 + V_2' - \epsilon^2 + M^2\right)\psi_\epsilon = 0, \quad \xi_\epsilon = \frac{-\partial_x + V_2}{\epsilon + M}\psi_\epsilon, \quad \Psi_\epsilon = (\psi_\epsilon, \xi_\epsilon)^T. \tag{22}$$

The first one is identical to the equation for ψ_E^0 in (19) as long as we substitute $\epsilon^2 = E^2 + M^2$. Hence, $\psi_\epsilon = \psi_E^0$. Comparison of the second equation in (22) with the second one in (19) reveals that ξ_ϵ is proportional to ξ_E^0 up to a multiplicative constant. Hence, the relative coefficient between the spin-up and spin-down components of Ψ_ϵ gets altered. We get the following formula for the (non-normalized) eigenstates

$$\Psi_{\pm|\epsilon|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{|E|}{\sqrt{E^2+M^2}\pm M} \end{pmatrix} \Psi^0_{\pm|E|}, \quad |\epsilon| = \sqrt{E^2 + M^2}, \tag{23}$$

$$H_M\Psi_{\pm|\epsilon|} = \pm|\epsilon|\Psi_{\pm|\epsilon|}, \quad H_0\Psi^0_{\pm|E|} = \pm|E|\Psi^0_{\pm|E|}. \tag{24}$$

The formula (23) fails to provide solutions for $|\epsilon| = M$. We can find them by direct solution of $H_M\Psi_{\pm M} = \pm M\Psi_{\pm M}$. The eigenfunctions are

$$\Psi_M = \begin{pmatrix} 2bMi\, e^{\int_0^x V_2} \int_0^x e^{-2\int_0^s V_2} + a\, e^{\int_0^x V_2} \\ b\, e^{-\int_0^x V_2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\Psi_{-M} = \begin{pmatrix} b\, e^{\int_0^x V_2} \\ -2bMi\, e^{-\int_0^x V_2} \int_0^x e^{2\int_0^s V_2} + ae^{-\int_0^x V_2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

where a and b are constants. It is worth emphasizing that for $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, the functions coincide with (20),

$$\Psi_{\pm M}|_{a=1,b=0} = \Psi_\pm^0. \tag{27}$$

It suggests that whenever H_0 has a normalizable zero-mode Ψ_+^0 (or Ψ_-^0), then H_M has a normalizable bound state Ψ_M (or Ψ_{-M}) with energy $E = M$ (or $E = -M$). When H_0 has doubly degenerate zero mode (e.g. in the case of periodic systems), then both $\Psi_{\pm M}$ represent physical states of H_M and $E = \pm M$ belong to the spectrum of H_M.

We suppose that when Ψ_E^0 belongs to the domain of H_0, then Ψ_ϵ it is in the domain of H_M as well. This is satisfied provided H_M inherits the boundary conditions for H_0 that do not fix relative coefficient between the spin-up and spin-down components of the wave functions. When this is the case, there also holds the inverse implication: when Ψ_ϵ is eigenstate of H_M, then Ψ_E is an eigenstate of H_0. Then the Hamiltonians H_0 and H_M are spectrally isomorphic. The isomorphism between the energies of the two operators reads

$$f : \pm|E| \to \begin{cases} \pm\sqrt{E^2 + M^2} & \text{for} \quad E \neq 0, \\ M \text{ or } -M & \text{for} \quad E = 0. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

The formula (28) tells us that the difference between the energy levels of H_0 and H_M goes to zero in the high-energy limit.

H_M can be utilized as a suitable operator for application of the gauge transformation (6). We identify $H \equiv H_M$. As the mass term corresponding to $V_3 = M$ is constant, it is sufficient to require $|\tilde{m}| < M$ in order to avoid discontinuities at $g_1^\pm$ and keep the functions $g_0^\pm$ and $g_1^\pm$ real (see discussion below (13)). We get the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$

$$\tilde{H} = -i\sigma_1\partial_x + \tilde{V}_2\sigma_2 + \tilde{m}\sigma_3 + \tilde{V}_0 \tag{29}$$

with the following potentials

$$\tilde{V}_0^\pm = \frac{V_2'\left(M \pm \frac{\tilde{m}V_2}{\sqrt{-\tilde{m}^2+V_2^2+M^2}}\right)}{2(M^2 + V_2^2)}, \quad \tilde{V}_2^\pm = \mp\sqrt{-\tilde{m}^2 + M^2 + V_2^2}, \tag{30}$$

where the superscript tells which one of $G^\pm = g_0^\pm + i\sigma_1 g_1^\pm$ was used to transform the Hamiltonian.



Figure 2: The potentials $\tilde{V}_0^-$ (thick line) and $\tilde{V}_2^-$ (dashed line). The values of parameters $\{A, B, M, \alpha, m\}$ are in the inset.

4 Examples

In this section, we present several examples of spectrally isomorphic, exactly solvable models. We focus on both non-periodic and periodic quantum settings and present their spectrally isomorphic partners. In all cases, we discuss relevance of the models for description of Dirac fermions in graphene in presence of an inhomogeneous electromagnetic field.

4.1 Localized barriers I

The Dirac Hamiltonian reads

$$H_M = i\sigma_1\partial_x + (A\tanh\,\alpha x + B)\,\sigma_2 + M\sigma_3 \tag{31}$$

The operator with $M = 0$ was discussed by Milpas in [13] where the vector potential was considered as an exactly solvable approximation of the vector potential at the edge of the magnetized cobalt film [14]. The Hamiltonian was utilized for the scattering analysis of the Dirac particles in graphene on the magnetic barrier. The exact solvability of $(H_M - E)\psi = 0$ stems from the fact that H_0 corresponds to supercharge of the nonrelativistic, supersymmetric Rosen-Morse II Hamiltonian [15]. The exact solvability of $(H_0^2 - E)\psi = 0$ is facilitated by the shape-invariance of the non-relativistic operator, see [16].

The potential terms in the transformed Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} = G^{\pm}H_M(G^{\pm})^{-1} = -i\sigma_1\partial_x + \tilde{V}_2^{\pm}\sigma_2 + \tilde{m}\sigma_3 + \tilde{V}_0^{\pm}$ acquire the following explicit form

$$\tilde{V}_0^{\pm} = \frac{A\,\alpha\,\mathrm{sech}^2\alpha x}{2\left(M^2 + (B + A\tanh\alpha x)^2\right)}\left(M \pm \frac{\tilde{m}(B + A\tanh\alpha x)}{\sqrt{M^2 - \tilde{m}^2 + (B + A\tanh\alpha x)^2}}\right), \tag{32}$$

$$\tilde{V}_2^{\pm} = \mp\sqrt{M^2 - \tilde{m}^2 + (B + A\tanh\alpha x)^2}. \tag{33}$$

The electrostatic potential $\tilde{V}_0^-$ decays exponentially, whereas $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\tilde{V}_2^{\,-} = \tilde{v}_2^{\pm} = \sqrt{M^2 - \tilde{m}^2 + (B \pm A)^2}$. When we fix $B = 0$, then $\tilde{v}_2^+ = \tilde{v}_2^-$. We identify the non-zero asymptotic value of V_2^- with k_y, whereas the asymptotically vanishing inhomogeneous component with the magnetic vector potential (see (4) and related discussion). The Hamiltonian then describes Dirac fermion bouncing on the electrostatic (and a weak magnetic) barrier with the momentum $k_y = \sqrt{M^2 - m^2 + A^2}$ parallel with the barrier, see Fig 2a) for illustration. When $B > 0$, $\tilde{V}_2^-$ represents a positive, smoothened step-like barrier, see Fig. 2b). The Hamiltonian can be interpreted as describing Dirac fermion in radially twisted carbon nanotube where the asymptotically constant speed of twist gets changed by a local interaction with an anchor, represented by $\tilde{V}_0^-$, see [9] and references therein. Qualitatively the same situation can be described by $\tilde{V}_0^+$ and $\tilde{V}_2^+$.

4.2 Localized barriers II

Let us fix the exactly solvable Hamiltonian in the following form

$$H_M = i\sigma_1\partial_x + (A\tanh\alpha x + B\,\mathrm{sech}\,\alpha x)\,\sigma_2 + M\sigma_3, \tag{34}$$

where the operator H_0 is known as the supercharge of the Scarf II supersymmetric Hamiltonian. The stationary equation $(H_0 - E)\psi = 0$ is exactly solvable due to the shape-invariance of H_0^2, see [16]. Hence, the equation $(H_M - E)\psi = 0$ is exactly solvable as well. The gauge transformed Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} = G^{\pm}H_M(G^{\pm})^{-1}$ has the



a) b)

Figure 3: a) $\tilde{V}_2^- - M$ (dashed line), $\tilde{V}_0^-$ (solid line) from (37) for $\{B, \alpha, m\}$ specified in the inset. b) $\tilde{V}_0^+$ (thick line), $(\tilde{V}_2^+)'$ (dashed line), B_z from (38) (dotted line) for $B_0 = 1$ and $\{A, B, \alpha, m, z_0, d\}$, see the inset.

following potential terms

$$\tilde{V}_0^{\pm} = \frac{\alpha\,\mathrm{sech}^2\alpha\,x(A - B\sinh\alpha\,x)\left(M \pm \frac{\tilde{m}\,\mathrm{sech}\alpha\,x(B+A\sinh\alpha\,x)}{\sqrt{M^2-\tilde{m}^2+(B\mathrm{sech}\,\alpha\,x+A\tanh\alpha\,x)^2}}\right)}{2(M^2 + (B\mathrm{sech}\,\alpha x + A\tanh\,\alpha\,x)^2)} \tag{35}$$

$$\tilde{V}_2^{\pm} = \mp\sqrt{M^2 - \tilde{m}^2 + (B\mathrm{sech}\,\alpha\,x + A\tanh\alpha\,x)^2}. \tag{36}$$

When $A = 0$ and $\tilde{m} = 0$, the electrostatic potential acquires a simplified form

$$\tilde{V}_0 = -\frac{BM\alpha\,\mathrm{sech}\,\alpha x\tanh\alpha x}{2(M^2 + B^2\mathrm{sech}^2\,\alpha x)}, \quad \tilde{V}_2^{\pm} = \mp\sqrt{M^2 + B^2\mathrm{sech}^2\,\alpha x}. \tag{37}$$

The vector potential $\tilde{V}_2$ is asymptotically constant. We assign its asymptotic value to the nonvanishing momentum $k_y = M$ and its inhomogeneous part to the external magnetic vector potential. The scalar potential $\tilde{V}_0$ represents two bumps situated at $\alpha x = \pm\mathrm{arccosh}\sqrt{\frac{B^2+2M^2}{M^2}}$, see Fig.3 a). When $M \to 0$, the distance $2\alpha^{-1}\mathrm{arccosh}\sqrt{\frac{B^2+2M^2}{M^2}}$ between the bumps goes to infinity while k_y in $\tilde{V}_2$ tends to zero.

The model can serve as a good approximation of the field created by a a thin, infinitely long strip of ferromagnetic material situated along y-axis, of the width d, and in the distance z_0 from the graphene sheet. The vector of magnetization is parallel to x-axis. Magnetic field induced by such strip was calculated in [17] and reads

$$B_z = B_0\left(\frac{z_0 d}{\left(x - \frac{d}{2}\right)^2 + z_0^2} - \frac{z_0 d}{\left(x + \frac{d}{2}\right)^2 + z_0^2}\right). \tag{38}$$

This profile can be matched quite well by the vector potential $\tilde{V}_2 - M$, see Fig. 3 b).

4.3 Spectral isomorphism between two- and three-gap periodic systems

Analysis of Dirac particles in graphene in periodic fields is quite topical. Graphene superlattices can be prepared experimentally by putting the graphene sheet onto a metallic substrate [18], by thin films of ferromagnetic material [19] or by a periodically structured substrate that induces strains in the graphene sheet [20]. Solvable models describing superlattices were considered in the literature, e.g. the barriers of Kronig-Penny type [21], periodic step-like potentials [22], or sinusoidal barriers [23].

Let us take the following Hamiltonian

$$H_M = -i\sigma_1\partial_x - k^2\frac{sn(x,k)cn(x,k)}{dn(x,k)}\sigma_2 + M\sigma_3 \tag{39}$$

as the initial operator. Here, $sn(x,k)$, $cn(x,k)$ and $dn(x,k)$ are Jacobi elliptic functions. It is worth mentioning that the vector potential of H_0 was considered as a self-consistent crystalline condensate in [24]. The Hamiltonian H_M is $2K(k)$ periodic ($K(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $k \in \langle 0, 1\rangle$ is the modular parameter), so that its spectrum has band structure. The peculiar properties of H_M originate from the fact that H_0 belongs to the family of finite-gap operators; H_0 has just two gaps in its spectrum.

The solution of the stationary equation for H_0 can be deduced easily from the solutions of the one-gap Lamé equation ($(H_0^2 - E)\psi = 0$) that are well known [25]. Let us define the spin-up spinors

$$\Xi_{\pm} = \left(\frac{\Theta_1\left(\frac{\pi(x\pm\alpha)}{2K(k)}\right)}{\Theta_4\left(\frac{\pi x}{2K(k)}\right)}, 0\right)^T e^{\mp\xi(\alpha,k)}. \tag{40}$$



Figure 4: a) the vertical axis corresponds to $\sigma(H_M)$, the horizontal axis to M, $k = 0.6$. Shadowed regions represent allowed energies. b)-d) $\tilde{V}_2^-$ dashed line, $\tilde{V}_0^-$ solid line. The values of parameters $\{k^2, M, \tilde{m}\}$ are in the inset of each plot.

Here Θ_1, Θ_4 and ζ are Jacobi theta functions and Zeta function, respectively, see [25]. The parameter α can acquire any complex value in principle. However, $\Xi_\pm$ are quasi-periodic Bloch states if and only if [3] $\alpha = i\delta$ or $\alpha = K(k)+i\delta$, where $\delta \in \langle 0, 2K(k')\rangle$ and $k'^2 = 1 - k^2$. In correspondence with these allowed values of α, the operator H_0 has three bands of energies, $E \in (-\infty, -1\rangle \cup \langle -k', k'\rangle \cup \langle 1, \infty)$. The eigenfunction of H_0 for $E \geq 0$ (they correspond to $\alpha \in i\langle 0, K(k')\rangle$ or $\alpha \in K(k) + i\langle 0, K(k')\rangle$) can be constructed as

$$\Psi^0_\pm = \Xi_\pm + \frac{1}{E} H_0 \Xi_\pm, \quad H_0 \Psi^0_\pm = E\Psi^0_\pm, \quad E = dn(\alpha, k), \tag{41}$$

whereas the eigenstates for $E < 0$ can be obtained as $\sigma_3 \Psi^0_\pm$.

In accordance with (23), we can write the wave functions of H_M corresponding to the positive energy $E > M$ in the following form

$$\Psi^\pm_{|\epsilon|} = \Xi_\pm + \frac{1}{|\epsilon| + M} H_0 \Xi_\pm, \quad H_M \Psi^\pm_{|\epsilon|} = |\epsilon| \Psi^\pm_\epsilon, \tag{42}$$

whereas the wave functions for $E < -M$ read

$$\Psi^\pm_{-|\epsilon|} = \Xi_\pm + \frac{1}{|\epsilon| - M} \sigma_3 H_0 \Xi_\pm, \quad H_M \Psi^\pm_{-|\epsilon|} = -|\epsilon| \Psi^\pm_{-|\epsilon|}. \tag{43}$$

The spectrum of H_M consists of the following four intervals $\sigma(H_M) = \left(-\infty, -\sqrt{1+M^2}\right\rangle \cup \left\langle -\sqrt{k'^2+M^2}, -M\right\rangle \cup \left\langle M, \sqrt{k'^2+M^2}\right\rangle \cup \left\langle \sqrt{1+M^2}, \infty\right)$. There are six band-edge states. They are

$$\Psi_M = (dnx, 0)^T, \quad \Psi_{-M} = (0, dn(x+K))^T, \tag{44}$$

$$\Psi_{\pm\sqrt{k'^2+M^2}} = \begin{pmatrix} cn(x) \\ \mp i \frac{\sqrt{k'^2}}{\sqrt{k'^2+M^2} \pm M} cn(x+K) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{\pm\sqrt{1+M^2}} = \begin{pmatrix} sn(x) \\ \mp i \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+M^2} \pm M} sn(x+K) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{45}$$

Addition of the mass term into the two gap Hamiltonian H_0 opens an additional central gap in the spectrum of H_M that corresponds to the three-gap system, see Fig. 4a). This is underlined by the fact that its potential term solves the corresponding nonlinear equation of the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) hierarchy. Defining $\Delta_M = ik^2 \frac{snxcnx}{dnx} - M$, it solves

$$AKNS_3 = \frac{1}{8}\left(-\Delta_M^{(3)} + 6|\Delta_M|^2 \Delta'_M\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(-2 + k^2 - 3M^2\right)\Delta'_M = 0, \tag{46}$$

[3] Modulo periodicity of $dn(\alpha, k)$.

$$AKNS_2 = -\frac{\Delta_0''}{4} + \frac{1}{2}|\Delta_0|^2\Delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}(k^2-2)\Delta_0 = 0, \tag{47}$$

where $(AKNS_2)' \sim AKNS_3$ for $M = 0$. Let us notice that both the two-gap Hamiltonian H_0 and the three-gap operator H_M have a nontrivial integrals of motion represented by a higher-order differential operators with matrix coefficients. Their existence emerges as a consequence of (46), see e.g. [26] for details on their generic construction.

The unitary transformation allows to construct Dirac Hamiltonian with the oscillating electromagnetic field. The transformation (13) is well defined (the functions $g_0^{\pm}$ and $g_1^{\pm}$ are continuous and real) since we take $\tilde{m} < V_3 = M$ and V_2 is bounded. The Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ has the following potential terms

$$\tilde{V}_0^{\pm} = \frac{1-k^2-dn^4x}{2dn^2x\left(M^2+k^4\frac{cn^2xsn^2x}{dn^2x}\right)}\left(M \mp \frac{k^2\tilde{m}\frac{cnxsnx}{dnx}}{\sqrt{M^2-\tilde{m}^2+k^4\frac{cn^2xsn^2x}{dn^2x}}}\right), \tag{48}$$

$$\tilde{V}_2^{\pm} = \mp\sqrt{M^2-\tilde{m}^2+k^4\frac{cn^2xsn^2x}{dn^2x}}. \tag{49}$$

In dependence on the parameters M, k, and $\tilde{m}$, the two potentials can acquire remarkable variety of profiles, see Fig. 4b)-d). For instance, the vector potential can exhibit only mild oscillations around a non-zero constant that are negligible in comparison to the amplitude of the electrostatic potential, see Fig. 4b). This system can be seen as an approximation of the setting where Dirac particle travels in the $2D$ periodic array of electrostatic barriers with the momentum $k_y \equiv \tilde{V}_2$ parallel to the barriers. The small, periodic fluctuations of $\tilde{V}_2$ in our model facilitate solution of the stationary equation that wouldn't be analytically solvable for constant $\tilde{V}_2 = k_y$. When $\tilde{m} \neq 0$, the reflection symmetry of the scalar potential $V_0^{\pm}$ is spoiled, see Fig. 4d). Instead, the potential seems to be odd with respect to the reflection within the finite intervals determined by two consecutive extrema of the potential.

5 Discussion

In the article, we focused on the construction of solvable Dirac operators with inhomogeneous vector and scalar potential, and constant (possibly vanishing) mass. The core of our results represents the unitary transformation (9), (13) that brings a generic one-dimensional Dirac operator into the required form. We illustrated its application on the special class of solvable models that are based on the mass-less, solvable Dirac operator with pure vector potential. We showed that solvability of these systems is not compromised by addition of the mass term, yet the spectrum changes globally and gives rise to the spectral isomorphism (28) between the systems. The constructed models were used in description of Dirac fermions in graphene in presence of an inhomogeneous electromagnetic field.

We presented solvable models where the particle experiences localized as well as periodic electromagnetic barrier. The two settings with localized barrier proved to be good approximation for magnetic field induced either on the edge of the magnetized film (32) or by a magnetized strip of finite width (37). In case of the periodic array of barriers, the system with two gaps in the spectrum served as the initial "seed" system. We constructed a spectrally isomorphic Hamiltonian with three spectral gaps and discussed some its peculiar properties, e.g. its relation to the AKNS hierarchy of integrable systems (46).

The presented procedure is complementary to the existing methods, namely to the Darboux transformation [8]. The latter one can transform an initial Dirac operator into an (almost) isospectral Hamiltonian with altered vector potential and mass term. The presented unitary transformation can set the mass to a constant and induce an inhomogeneous scalar potential. It can provide the new isospectral partners of the recently discussed Dirac systems with reflection-less potential [27], [28] or inhomogeneous magnetic fields [29], [30]. It is worth noticing that a different approach to construction of solvable systems described by Dirac equation was developed in [31]. There, a transformation involving analytic continuation of parameters was discussed that allowed to get new solvable Dirac operators from the known ones with, in specific manner interchanged, vector and scalar potentials.

It would be interesting to consider spectral isomorphism for generic finite-gap systems. In particular, it should be understood how the procedure, which maps an $n-$gap Hamiltonian to an $(n+1)$-gap one, is reflected by the associated equations of the AKNS hierarchy, or manifested in the structure of (Lax) integrals of motion associated with the finite-gap Hamiltonians. In this context, the results on of the hidden nonlinear supersymmetry of the finite-gap systems could be particularly useful [26].

As the operator $\tilde{H}^2$ represents a Schrödinger operator with matrix potential (the antidiagonal terms with first derivative can be transformed out be an additional unitary transformation), the presented procedure can be beneficial for analysis of these nonrelativistic systems that are of growing interest recently [32], [33], [28].

The presented construction was primarily aimed at derivation of explicit exactly solvable models. However, it can be utilized for qualitative analysis as well. The Hamiltonians H_M were discussed qualitatively in [34], where a set of sufficient conditions for existence of bound states was derived. With the use of the unitary transformation

(13), those qualitative results are directly applicable on a class of operators $\tilde{H}$ with inhomogeneous vector and scalar potential.

References

[1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345 (1961).

[2] D. J. Gross, A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 3235 (1974).

[3] M. Buballa, S. Carignano, " Inhomogeneous chiral condensates," arxiv:1406.1367.

[4] A. F. Andreev, Soviet Physics JETP **19**, 1228 (1964).

[5] G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2449 (1984).

[6] V. Jakubský, L. M. Nieto, M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 047702 (2011).

[7] A. A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, J. Phys. A **37**, 10297 (2004).

[8] L. M. Nieto, A. A. Pecheritsin, B. F. Samsonov, Annals of Physics **305**, 151 (2003).

[9] V. Jakubský, M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 045035 (2012).

[10] M. A. H. Vozmediano, M. I. Katsnelson, F. Guinea, Phys. Rep. **496**, 109 (2010).

[11] B. Hunt et al, Science **340**, 1427-30 (2013).

[12] P. M. Krstajic, P. Vasilopoulos, J. Phys. Cond. Matt. **23**, 135302 (2011).

[13] E. Milpas, M. Torres and G. Murguía, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **23**, 245304 (2011)

[14] T. Vančura et al Phys. Rev. B **62**, 5074 (2000).

[15] N. Rosen, P. M. Morse, Phys. Rev. **42**, 210 (1932).

[16] R. Dutt, A. Khare, U. P. Sukhatme, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 163 (1988).

[17] A. Matulis, F. M. Peeters, P. Vasilopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1518 (1994).

[18] D. Martoccia et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 126102 (2008).

[19] P. Ye, D. Weiss, R. Gerhardts, M. Seeger, K. von Klitzing, K., K. Eberl, H. Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3013 (1995).

[20] A. Vázquez de Parga et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 056807 (2008).

[21] M. Ramezani, P. Vasilopoulos, F. M. Peeters, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **22**, 465302 (2010).

[22] L. Dell'Anna, A. de Martino, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 45420 (2009).

[23] L. Brey, H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 046809 (2009).

[24] G. Basar, G. V. Dunne, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 065022 (2008).

[25] E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, p.573

[26] F. Correa, G. V. Dunne, M. S. Plyushchay, Annals of Physics **324**, 2522 (2009).

[27] A. Arancibia, M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 025008 (2014).

[28] F. Correa and V. Jakubský, "Twisted kinks, Dirac transparent systems and Darboux transformations," arXiv:1406.2997 [hep-th].

[29] B. Midya, D. J. Fernández, J. Phys. A **47**, 285302 (2014).

[30] A. Contreras-Astorga, A. Schulze-Halberg, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 103506 (2014).

[31] L. Z. Tan, Cheol-Hwan Park, S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 195426 (2010).

[32] A. G. Nikitin, Y. Karadzhov, J. Phys. A **44**, 5204 (2011).

[33] A. V. Sokolov, Phys. Lett. A **377**, 655 (2013);
A. A. Andrianov, A. V. Sokolov, "Minimal Realizations of Supersymmetry for Matrix Hamiltonians," arXiv:1409.6695

[34] V. Jakubský, D. Krejčiřík, Annals of Physics **349**, 268 (2014).